

## AgResearch responses to the OIA request from Claire Bleakley October 2012

---

1. Erbitux cattle 1st Quarter 2010 (Annual report 2010) to 4th Quarter 2010 Group 46 -50 (p.10) (Annual report 2011).
  - 1.1. How many Erbitux embryo transfers there were per run and how many cows were impregnated? *There was an average of 23 embryos transferred per run with a range of 8 to 34.*
  - 1.2. Were all the surrogates recipient cows non-transgenic cattle? *Yes, all the surrogate recipient cows were non-transgenic cattle.*
  - 1.3. How many recipients were non transgenic? *As above, all were non-transgenic.*
  - 1.4. How many recipients were transgenic? *As above, none were transgenic.*
  - 1.5. What transgenic line were they? *Refer above, therefore not applicable.*
2. Beta LactoGlobulin knockdown cattle; it states that there were 2 embryo transfer runs undertaken in 14 & 16 December groups 53 & 54 in the 1st quarter of 2011 (p.13), also in the 2nd quarter 2011 there were further group transfers of ET 55/56. (p.14).
  - 2.1. How many embryos were transferred in each of the four runs? *The table below shows the number of embryos transferred in each of the four runs:*

Run	Number of embryos transferred
Gp53	25
Gp54	28
Gp55	27
Gp56	29

- 2.2. How many surrogate recipient cows were impregnated? *64 recipients were available for ET with ET numbers for each group above. Only 1 recipient from these groups held a pregnancy past day 140.*
  - 2.3. How many recipients were non-transgenic? *All were non-transgenic.*
  - 2.4. How many recipients were transgenic? *As above, none were transgenic.*
  - 2.5. What transgenic line were they? *Refer above, therefore not applicable.*
3. I note that on cow 06047-hLF line, aborted twice at 4 months (Annual report 2012, p.10). Report outlines it once at 2nd Q 2011 p.14 and the other 3rd Q 2011.
  - 3.1. Is this the same episode written down twice or two different impregnation events? *From our records yes this is the same event twice, Q2 2011 is actual period.*
  - 3.2. Were they embryo transfers that were impregnated into 06047 or was she naturally mated? *She was naturally mated.*
  - 3.3. Was she a hLF transgenic cow? If not what was she? *Yes, she was a hLF transgenic cow.*
4. How many bulls are sterile or produce no viable semen in each of the Casein +, Beta LactoGlobulin -, hLF, hFSH, hMBP transgenic lines and at what generation? *The table below shows the number of bulls who are sterile or produce no viable semen:*

Line	Number of bulls
Casein	1
Beta-lactoglobulin	0
hLF	0
hFSH	0
hMBP	0

- 4.1. Are the F1 generation bulls sterile?** *No, the F1 bulls are not sterile.*
- 4.2. Are the F2 generation bulls sterile?** *No, the F2 bulls are not sterile.*
- 4.3. Are the F3 generation bulls sterile?** *No, the F3 bulls are not sterile.*
- 4.4. Was bull 09011 Casein + bull (p.13) 2011 annual report a bull from an ET transfer or natural mating?** *He was from a natural mating.*
- 4.5. Was the bull's mother a transgenic cow?** *Yes, the bulls mother was a transgenic cow.*
- 4.6. If so what transgene did she carry?** *The Casein line.*
- 4.7. If not, was 09011 from a surrogate recipient cow?** *Refer above, therefore not applicable.*
- 4.8. Was 09011 the offspring of a natural mating with a transgenic bull?** *Yes, it was the offspring of a natural mating.*
- 4.9. Non-Transgenic bull?** *No, it was a transgenic bull.*
- 4.10. If so what type of bull?** *The Casein line.*
- 4.11. Do any of the calves heifers and bulls show trans-gender genitalia?** *No, none of the calves show trans-gender genitalia.*