


# WHY

## Biotech/Corporate line

## Public/NGO line



**REALITY**

Crops of the future, good for farmers, produce more food, use less herbicides and pesticides, cure 3rd world hunger/disease. Patented.

Too many risks to health and environment, people want choice, food free of viral, bacterial, toxic DNA in foods

Pressure on government and regulatory agencies

No education,  
No labelling  
No checks (in US)

Pressure on government and regulatory agencies

Gene pollution  
Horizontal gene transfer  
Risks to food safety  
Unauthorised experiments

Lobbying of food & environment regulatory agencies, pressure on individual countries via WTO - rulings, tariffs/ sanctions

Instigation of GE Free zones and food lists, boycotts and involvement of public, set up of Royal Commission

Admit risks of outcrossing/ HGT and gene pollution and possibility of harm to environment & health. Liability not accepted. Defence of position - continued promulgation of potential benefits of products.

Biosecurity issues  
Testing seed imports  
Homan rights/official info

Request protection of rights. Independent safety assessments of GE in food, environment and medicine and independent economic impact assessments. Liability of GE company.

Continuation of GE in all areas, continuing contamination & risk, lots of GE products, no liability

Fluid genome and instability of living genetic products. Patents and approvals of food and GMOs illegal? Genetic pollution / inherent risks to environment / food

GE Free in food and environment and medical Sustainable/ organic promotion

RCI on GM decision/Govt decisions